Manual for CLMET 3.1

L	Intro	duction	2	
2	Cont	act & citation	2	
3	1			
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Cleaning, tokenization & tagging Text-level information (<i>structural attributes</i>) Token-level annotation (<i>positional attributes</i>) Additional time period attributes CQP conversion	2 3 3 4 5	
4	CLM	1ET3.1: Formats		
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	CQP version Tagged version Classed version Plain version	5 5 6 6	
5	Com	parison	6	
6	Refe	°ences	6	
7	Appendix			
	7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4		8 9 10	

I Introduction

The *Corpus of Late Modern English Texts* (CLMET) is a corpus of roughly 35 million words of British English from 1710–1920, grouped into three 70-year periods (De Smet 2005; Diller et al. 2011). The history, versions and specifics of corpus composition can be followed up by referring to the CLMET3.0 website. CLMET3.0 is currently distributed in three formats: (i) plain text, (ii) plain text with one sentence per line, and (iii) a tagged version (one sentence per line).

Version CLMET3.1 is the result of making CLMET available in a CQP format for use in CWB and CQPweb-based corpus environments (Evert & Hardie 2011; Evert 2010a). While there is no change to the selection of texts, CLMET3.1 includes additions and changes in linguistic annotation. The changes in CLMET3.1 are of three general types: (a) retokenization and retagging, (b) fixing of some systematic issues that come with historical data, and (c) enhancing annotation by adding lemmas and simplified part-of-speech class tags (see §3.3). The types of improved and additional linguistic annotation are documented below.

2 Contact & citation

Questions about CLMET in general: Hendrik De Smet, <u>Hendrik.DeSmet@arts.kuleuven.be</u> Questions about CLMET3.1/CQP (con)version: Susanne Flach, <u>susanne.flach@fu-berlin.de</u>

If you use CLMET3.1, please cite as follows:

De Smet, Hendrik, Susanne Flach, Jukka Tyrkkö & Hans-Jürgen Diller. 2015. The Corpus of Late Modern English (CLMET), version 3.1: Improved tokenization and linguistic annotation. KU Leuven, FU Berlin, U Tampere, RU Bochum. Available from https://perswww.kuleuven.be/~u0044428/clmet3_1.htm.

3 Annotation & clean-up

CLMET3.1 is based on the CLMET3.0 plain text files. The conversion of these files with available tools is documented in §3.1. The annotation levels for CLMET3.1 are described in §3.2–§3.4. Details on the CQP conversion can be found in §3.5.

3.1 Cleaning, tokenization & tagging

- **Pre-processing:** The following changes were made to the original files:
 - CLMET3_0_3_266: file is a 24,000 word duplicate of parts of CLMET3_0_3_268.
 Comments were added to the <comment>-tag in the header of both files.
 - CLMET3_0_2_104: file contains double quotes in **<notes>**-tag. These interfere with xml-styles and were changed to single quotes.
 - CLMET3_0_3_198: "a< far" changed to "as far" (interferes with tagging).
- Cleaning OCR errors: a number of characters that are possibly OCR and/or file format conversion errors between platforms (e.g. *donít* 'don't') were corrected. This included removing all underscores, which presumably marked italics, but which is not consistent.

- Insertion of paragraph tags: text files in CLMET3.0 have empty lines that correlate with paragraph boundaries. Paragraph tags were written to empty lines (). This is only an approximation and mirrors texts representation in OTA/Project Gutenberg, not necessarily the texts' typography in their original publication.
- Page breaks: a non-exhaustive heuristic (!) was employed to identify page breaks ([Page: ixx]) to avoid these items being recognized as actual text tokens (<page>).
- Sentence recognition & tokenization: text was tokenized with DocumentPreprocessor, part of the coreNLP (Manning et al. 2014). Its output is one sentence per line, which allowed for the inclusion of sentence boundary tags (<s>).
- Tokenization errors clean up: erroneous tokenization of historical forms was undone for 'd (cou 'd > cou'd, oblig 'd > oblig'd), then re-tokenized for PRONOUN's (you'd, she'd). Tokens were put on one line to be tagged with TreeTagger (Schmid 1994).
- Tagging & lemmatization: TreeTagger's built-in tokenizer was switched off for tagging. The tagger's lexicon file was extended to include about 20 historical variants of modals (e.g., wou'd, canst, or mayest), ~70 types of 2.SG forms (saidst, dost), ~2,900 participial or adjectival forms ending in 'd (wreck'd, restrain'd), and ~500 -th-verb forms (visiteth, blesseth). In addition, frequent forms (to-day, thy) were added as were forms like 'tis, 'twas, or 'twould (tags VBZ, VBD, MD; lemmas it_be, it_would, etc.).

NOTE: The additions to TreeTagger were manually created in an iterative fashion by looking at what the TreeTagger could not identify, then adding the most frequent such forms to the tagger's lexicon, then retagging, and re-identifying unknown lemmas, etc. Once 'complete', all lemmas which the TreeTagger could not identify were replaced by lower case versions of their corpus strings. Also note that the TreeTagger performs rather poorly on capitalization, which TreeTagger often identifies as proper names (NP).

3.2 Text-level information (structural attributes)

CWB can deal with extensive text-structural annotation (*structural attributes*). CLMET3.1 contains and **<s>** (see above), as well as meta information extracted from the 333 file headers. The latter are enclosed in **<text>** tags (e.g., *file id, author, year, title*) and technical information on the files in **<file>** tags (e.g., *source URL, comments*).

3.3 Token-level annotation (positional attributes)

In contrast to structural attributes, *positional attributes* refer to individual tokens. CLMET3.1 has **pos**, **lemma**, and **class** as positional attributes (in addition to **word**, the corpus form).

3.3.1 POS-tagging & lemmatization

Dealing with historical data, tagging remains problematic in all areas, and should be treated with caution (especially with noun recognition) and/or combined with more coarse-grained **class** queries (see below). Also bear in mind that the lemmas for unknown items are in lower case, while proper names that the tagger *did* recognize are not necessarily all lower case. In addition, lemmatization may not be consistent, e.g. in the area of *-ize/ise* spellings; these were not homogenized to preserve as much of the original orthography as possible. The use of regular expressions or CQP's case-insensitivity operators (**%c** or **%cd**) is always highly recommended.

The tagset used in CLMET3.1 is the Penn Tagset, with the following major changes and additions (see detailed list in the Appendix and in the CQP .info file):

- i. Negation particles *not* and n't: the tag for these strings was changed from **RB** to **XX0** to set it off from general adverbs, which are also tagged **RB**. **XX0** is the negation tag used in the CLAWS tagset (e.g. BNC). In addition, the lemma for both variants is *not*, as TreeTagger lemmatizes the two forms separately as *not* and n't, respectively.
- ii. Non-sentence-final punctuation (, ; :) was assigned **PUN**; sentence-final punctuation has **SENT** (the PennSet default).
- iii. Left and right brackets received LBR- and RBR-tags, respectively; quotation mark tags were assigned LQUO/RQUO. Both tag pairs must be used with caution: opening and closing tags are not always pairwise and/or may have been missed or simply guessed by TreeTagger. (Using their class-value in these cases might be better.)
- iv. Currency symbols were assigned **CURR**.

3.3.2 CLASS tags

A class scheme was added to CLMET3.1 based on the XML version of the BNC corpus. This includes 11 so-called 'Oxford simplified wordclass tags' (Burnard 2007) and subsumes groups of **pos** tags under their more general word classes, i.e. all verb tags (**VVI**, **VBN**, **VDD**, **VM0**, **VHI** etc.) are assigned the simplified class tag **VERB**, or all noun tags **SUBST**. This strategy was also applied to the Penn set (see Appendix), though CLMET3.1 uses a slightly modified set (**ADJ**, **ADV**, **ART**, **CONJ**, **INTJ**, **PREP**, **PRON**, **PUNC**, **SUBST**, **SYM**, **UNC**, **VERB**). The wordclass tags allow for coarse-grained searches, and are, especially with respect to the inaccurate tagging of historical data, a major strategy for workarounds in terms of precision and recall.

3.4 Additional time period attributes

The original meta information on year and period were retained; in addition, the attributes **decade** and **quartcent** were added, according to the following criteria:

i. DECADE:

- a. If a text can unambiguously be attributed to a year, it was placed in the respective decade; this also includes text that has a range within a single decade, i.e. text with a year value of *1844* is assigned the decade value *1840s*, as is text with a year value of *1866-9*.
- b. If the year value spans several decades, the range is given in full, preceded by X, i.e. the year value *1859-60* is given as *X1859-60*. This leaves it up to the analyst whether to subsume such a text as 1850s or 1860s.

ii. QUARTER CENTURY:

- a. 25-year-spans: Text with unambiguous dating is assigned its quarter century, beginning with 1700–1724.
- b. If dating is unclear or extends a range, the range value is given (*X1868-1894*)

ATTRIBUTE	VALUE	DESCRIPTION			
Decade	1710s	1710–1719			
text_decade	1720s	1720–1729			
	 X1859–60	 Text spanning decades, preceded by X			

	?1770s	Unsure dating, if year value is ?1770				
Quarter century	1700-1724	25 year intervals for clear and				
text_quartcent	1725-1749	unambiguous quarter centuries				
	X1746-1771	Text spanning several quarter centuries				

Note: CQPweb does not allow ? in metadata, thus a conjectured time value has a preceding u (for unknown), i.e. u1775 instead of ?1775.

3.5 CQP conversion

The tokenized, tagged, lemmatized, and classed vertical file (in UTF-8) was indexed and compressed with CWB-3.0 (Evert 2010b). If you want to index CLMET3.1 yourself in CWB-3.4.x or for CQPweb, use the accompanying clmet.vrt file (desired metadata for CQPweb can be selected from the accompanying metadata spreadsheet [sheet CQPweb]).

4 CLMET3.1: Formats

CLMET3.1 is available in two major formats: (i) a CQP-version, and (ii) individual .txt files; the latter were converted from **clmet.vrt** and contain pos-tagged, class-tagged, and plain versions in 'one-sentence-per-line' formats. Thus, CLMET3.1 comes in most formats that are known from CLMET3.0, but direct comparison to the tagged and plain versions of CLMET3.0 should be avoided primarily due to different tagging/tokenizing strategies.

4.1 CQP version

The CQP folder contains all relevant data for instant use in CQP, i.e. the compressed data, a clmet-registry file and an .info file. The doc folder also includes clmet.vrt. While the clmet.vrt file is not necessary to run CLMET3.1 in CQP, it may have to be used in the setup for CQPweb or for conversion to CWB-3.4. and above.

You can download CWB/CQP for all major platforms from the CWB website (http://cwb.sourceforge.net). The website offers several demo corpora that were uploaded for use in the CQP query language tutorial, so simply follow the instructions in the tutorial on how to add CLMET to a CQP environment. Remember to update the file path to your data folder in the clmet-registry file to enable access to the corpus (Windows probably requires the file path in quotes).

4.2 Tagged version

The CWB file clmet.vrt was converted into a 'one-sentence-per-line' format for all 333 files (removing <s> tags, but preserving). The pos tags are separated by an underscore, i.e. I_PP hope_VBP I_PP may_MD be_VB forgiven_VBN ,_PUN (as in CMET3.0). The xml-style <text> and <file> tags were converted back to the format familiar from CLMET3.0 (a <file> tag containing the file name was added, as were <decade> and <quartcent> with their respective values). The <page> tags introduced for CQP were retained in the horizontal versions, but were changed to self-closing xml tags: <page type="[PAGE ELEMENT AS IT APPEARED IN TEXT]"/>.

4.3 Classed version

The 'class' format includes the simplified wordclass tags separated from their tokens by an underscore, i.e. **I_PRON hope_VERB I_PRON may_VERB be_VERB forgiven_VERB ,_PUNC**. Otherwise this version is identical to the tagged version.

4.4 Plain version

A plain version was created by stripping all token-level annotation. Note, however, that this version is of course still tokenized (i.e., CLMET3.1's tokenization), i.e. clitics and punctuation are treated as separate tokens and set off by whitespace, i.e. Where I may dose out what I 've left of life ,. Otherwise, this version is identical to the tagged and class version.

5 Comparison

Cleaning and tokenization changed the number of tokens of CLMET. The final version contains 34,342,857 tokens, excluding punctuation (40,340,760 with punctuation). While the actual numerical difference is not that large to CLMET3.0, the qualitative differences on all levels of annotation are considerable. An overview of the token count can be found in the Appendix (and in the CQP info file). Two comparison frequency lists of string and verb tokens are provided in a spreadsheet document. Some examples are pointed out below.

While tokenizing and tagging should of course always only be used with great caution, the increased usability applies most notably to the verbal area. For instance, manual post-editing ensured that *wou'd* is recognized as *would* (rather than **wou_NN 'd_MD** in CLMET3.0). The manual retokenization of (VERB)'d decreased 'd tokens in the corpus from 30,814 in CLMET3.0 to 3,635 in CLMET3.1 (-90%). In the former version, only 10.2% of 'd tokens were preceded by pronouns (and most of them erroneously tagged), indicating that 'd was not correctly tokenized; conversely, most preceding verb stems tagged as **NN**. CLMET3.1 now has ~99% pronouns or nouns preceding the token 'd.

Similar improvements are observable in the non-modal verbal area, where lemmatization now allows for disambiguation of the very general verb tags (**VB.***) to find only forms of, e.g. *be, do,* or *have* by combining attributes in CQP's powerful query language (e.g., finding their base form with [pos="VB" & lemma="(be|have|do)"]).

Cliticized forms have also changed considerably in corpus frequency: m from 5,884 to 7,035 (+19%), 's from 135,217 to 150,881 (+9%), 've from 4,866 to 5,684 (+16%), and n't from 35,664 to 40,234 (+12%). On the other hand, including the non-exhaustive, heuristic **<page>** tag strategy decreased the occurrence of the lemma *page* from 23,349 to 6,157 (-74%).

6 References

Burnard, Lou. 2007. Reference Guide for the British National Corpus (XML Edition). British National Corpus Consortium by the Research Technologies Service at Oxford University Computing Services. http://www.natcorp.ox.ac.uk/docs/URG/.

De Smet, Hendrik. 2005. A corpus of Late Modern English texts. ICAME Journal 29. 69-82.

Diller, Hans-Jürgen, Hendrik De Smet & Jukka Tyrkkö. 2011. A European database of descriptors of English electronic texts. *The European English Messenger* 19. 21–35.

Evert, Stefan. 2010a. CQP query language tutorial (CWB Version 3.0). http://cwb.sourceforge.net/. Evert, Stefan. 2010b. Corpus Encoding Tutorial. http://cwb.sourceforge.net/.

Evert, Stefan & Andrew Hardie. 2011. Twenty-first century Corpus Workbench: Updating a query architecture for the new millennium. *Proceedings of the Corpus Linguistics 2011 conference*, 1–21. University of Birmingham. http://www.stefan-evert.de/PUB/EvertHardie2011.pdf.

Flach, Susanne. CQP — A practical guide. (Draft version 0.2). http://bit.ly/sflach.

- Manning, Christopher D., Mihai Surdeanu, John Bauer, Jenny Finkel, Steven J. Bethard & David McClosky. 2014. The Stanford CoreNLP Natural Language Processing Toolkit. Proceedings of 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, 55-60.
- Schmid, Helmut. 1995. Improvements in part-of-speech tagging with an application to German. *Proceedings of the ACL SIGDAT-Workshop*. Dublin, Ireland. http://www.cis.unimuenchen.de/~schmid/tools/TreeTagger/

7 Appendix

7.1 Tagset (positional attributes)

POS	CLASS	DESCRIPTION
CC	CONJ	coordinating conjunction
CD	ADJ	cardinal number
DT	ART	determiner
EX	PRON	existential there
FW	UNC	foreign word
IN	PREP	preposition
]]	ADJ	adjective
JJR	ADJ	adjective, comparative
JJS	ADJ	adjective, superlative
MD	VERB	modal
NN	SUBST	noun, singular or mass
NNS	SUBST	noun plural
NP	SUBST	proper noun, singular
NPS	SUBST	proper noun, plural
PDT	ADJ	predeterminer
POS	UNC	possessive ending
PP	PRON	personal pronoun
PP\$	PRON	possessive pronoun
RB	ADV	adverb
RBR	ADV	adverb, comparative
RBS	ADV	adverb, superlative
RP	ADV	particle
SENT	PUNC	end punctuation
SYM	SYM	symbol
то	PREP	to go, to him
UH	INTJ	interjection
VB	VERB	verb, base form
VBD	VERB	verb, past
VBG	VERB	verb, gerund/participle
VBN	VERB	verb, past participle
VBZ	VERB	verb, pres, 3rd p. sing
VBP	VERB	verb, pres, non-3rd p.
WDT	ART	wh-determiner
WP	PRON	wh-pronoun
WP\$	PRON	possessive wh-pronoun
WRB	ADV	wh-abverb
XX0	ADV	not, n't
CURR	SYM	£, \$
PUNCTUATION TA		
PUNCTUATION TA	PUNC	punctuation which is not SENT
LQUO	PUNC	opening quotes
RQUO	PUNC	closing quotes
BRL	PUNC	left brackets
BRR	PUNC	right brackets
LS	PUNC	list item
LJ	PONC	list itelli

Attribute	Value	Description
text_file	CLMET text identifier	CLMET text identifier
text_id	CLMET text id	1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
text_period	1710-1780	
	1781-1750	
	1851-1920	
text_year	1788	Unambiguous year
	?1775	conjectured
	1759-67	range
text_decade	1710s, 1720s, 1730s	Unambiguous decade
_	X1859-60	Text spanning decades, preceded by X
	1770s?	Unsure dating, if year value is ?1770
text_quartcent	1700-1724	25 year intervals for
	1725-1749	clear years
		,
	X1750-1778	If spanning quarter centuries
text_author	Name of author	
text_gender	M	
	F	
<pre>text_author_birth</pre>	Year	
<pre>text_title</pre>	Title of text	
text_genre	Narrative fiction	
	Other	
	Narrative non-fiction	
	Treatise	
	LET	
	Drama	
text_subgrenre	fict	
	treat	
	X	
	hist	
	let	
	bio	
	drama	
	hist / treat	
	travel / treat	
	bio / travel	
	bio / treat	
	fict / treat	
	fict / travel	
text_notes	Notes by editors	
file_source	URL of source text	
file_downloaded	Date of download	
file_comments	corpus compiler's comment	
page_type	Page number or comment	e.g. [Page ii] (heuristic)

7.2 Attributes & values (structural attributes)

7.3 Word & token count

The following tables summarize and compare the numerical corpus make-up across the two versions (count data for CLMET3.0 was taken from the official corpus documentation, count data for CLMET3.1 calculated using the CWB count tools):

PERIOD	#authors	#texts		MET3.1 (all)	CLMET: (excl. pun		
1710-1780	51	88	12,1	55,135	10,460,1	19	
1780-1850	70	99	13,2	268,542	11,473,4	45	
1850-1920	91	146	14,8	334,182	12,942,4	71	
TOTAL	212	333	40,2	257,859	34,876,0	35	
GENRE:	CLMET:	3.0			CLMET3.1		
	1710-1	780	1780-1850	1850-1920	1710-1780	1780-1850	1850-1920
Narrative fiction	4,642	,670	4,830,718	6,311,301	5,405,645	5,780,352	7,561,339
Narrative non-fiction	n 1,863,	,855	1,940,245	958,410	2,145,946	2,261,485	1,097,487
Drama	407,	,885	347,493	607,401	523,318	441,040	763,352
Letters	1,016,	,745	714,343	479,724	1,208,219	842,795	554,046
Treatise	1,114	,521	1,692,992	1,782,124	1,263,090	1,927,272	2,030,210
Other	1,434	,755	1,759,796	2,481,247	1,635,846	2,047,513	2,851,805
TOTAL	10,480,	431 1	1,285,587	12,620,207	12,182,064	13,300,457	14,858,239

7.4 CQP data model

Details of the CQP data model are described in Evert (2010a; 2010b). The following illustrates the first few (and last 2) lines of clmet.vrt. Positional attributes, i.e. token-level annotation, are represented as tab-separated information. Structural attributes variably apply to the text, sentence, or token-level (i.e. <text>, <file>, <s>, , and <page> tags).

```
<text id="1" file="CLMET3_1_1_1.txt" period="1710-1780" quartcent="1700-1724"
    decade="1710s" year="1710" genre="Treatise" subgenre="treat"
    author="Berkeley, George" gender="M" author_birth="1685" notes="">
<file source="http://ota.ahds.ac.uk/text/4634.html" downloaded="25-09-2012"</pre>
    comments="">
<s>
А
              DT
                     а
                                      ART
TREATISE
              NN
                     treatise
                                      SUBST
Concerning
              VBG
                     concern
                                      VERB
              DT
                     the
                                      ART
the
PRINCIPLES
                     principles
                                      SUBST
              NP
OF
               IΝ
                     of
                                      PREP
Human
              NP
                     Human
                                      SUBST
              NP
                                      SUBST
Knowlege
                     knowlege
              PUN
                                      PUNC
                     •
</s>
. . .
</file>
</text>
```

Notes: Strings that TreeTagger identified as <unknown> are assigned their lower-cased string as lemma (here: *knowlege*). Snippet also illustrates the issues of (proper) noun tagging.